## CITY'S REPLY EXHIBIT K

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO DIVISION

DANIEL VILLEGAS,

Plaintiff,

V

No. 3:15-CV-386

CITY OF EL PASO, et al.,

Defendants.

ORAL AND VIDEO DEPOSITION OF

JOSEPH ANTHONY MESSER

AUGUST 23, 2022

ORAL AND VIDEO DEPOSITION of JOSEPH
ANTHONY MESSER, produced as a witness at the instance of
the Defendant CITY OF EL PASO, and duly sworn, was taken
in the above-styled and numbered cause on
AUGUST 23, 2022, from 9:43 a.m. to 5:55 p.m., at the
offices of ACR Ink, LLC, 221 North Kansas Street, Suite
505, El Paso, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure.

Reported by:

Ginger G. Zachary, CSR, RPR, CRR

Joseph A. Messer - August 23, 2022

```
Page 274
1
                       CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     STATE OF TEXAS
     COUNTY OF EL PASO
 4
 5
6
7
              I, Ginger G. Zachary, Registered Professional
8
9
    Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Certified
10
     Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby
11
     Certify that this transcript is a true record of the
12
     said proceedings, and that said transcription is done to
     the best of my ability.
13
14
              Given under my hand and seal of office on
     September 8, 2022.
15
16
17
18
                                   Zachary, CSR,
                                                  RPR,
                         Texas Certification Number 5710
19
                         Date of Expiration:
                                               1/31/2024
20
                         ACR INK, LLC
                         Firm Registration Number CRF-11613
21
                         221 North Kansas Street, Suite 505
                         El Paso, Texas
                                         79901
                         Ph.: 915.542.3422
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 155
     flipped it over to the police department, to the chief,
1
2
     and this initiated all this paperwork, these separate
3
     incident reports. It was -- it was -- quite amount of
4
     work was generated on this.
5
              So let's take another look at -- now, we'll
6
     look at Exhibit 27.
7
                   MR. DENTON: We marked that last one as 26,
8
     right?
9
                   THE REPORTER: I don't show a 26 marked.
10
                   MR. DENTON: All right. That last one was
     26. The -- yes, 92-174. So we'll look at 27 now, If
11
12
    you'll pull up CP93-009.
13
                   (Exhibit 27 marked.)
14
          Q. (BY MR. DENTON) Do you recognize this one?
15
              Well, it's the introduction to...
          Α.
16
                   THE WITNESS: Could you move it up just a
17
     little further --
18
                   MR. DENTON: Yes.
19
                   THE WITNESS: -- please?
20
                   MR. DENTON: Scoot down a little bit.
21
     There we go.
              (BY MR. DENTON) It's against Martinez and
22
          0.
23
    Lowe --
24
          Α.
              This -- this -- this was a separate incident.
25
              Right.
          Q.
```

## Page 156 But it also involved Sergeant Lowe. 1 Α. And it also involved --2 Q. 3 A. And ---- juveniles, right? 4 Ο. 5 Yes. Α. 6 0. Okay. 7 This incident, as I recollect, involved over a period of time that Lowe was not maintaining proper 8 9 discipline, oversight. He was more interested in being 10 one of the guys than being a supervisor, and it resulted 11 in a --12 Q. Let's shift to the --13 A. -- lengthy suspension. 14 Just -- just a moment. Ο. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Let's shift to the next page, and then please Ο. 17 continue your answer. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Q. There we go. 20 Α. Okay. Well, it's just what it states on there. Okay. So number 5 -- read number 5 for us. 21 0. "Did Sergeant Lowe authorize statements to be 22 23 taken from juveniles without parental consent?" That's 24 what I mentioned earlier.

Q.

Right.

25

Page 157 We're not supposed to take a statement or confession or any -- from a juvenile unless a parent consented to it or unless a parent was present. O. Okay. He didn't do that, along with these other transgressions that are listed on there. Q. And do you remember what the suspension was on this case? I believe he got 20 days, which was a pretty good whack. O. Let's go to 64387. So it looks like virtually everybody in the crimes against persons unit was interviewed, right? Well, this was the tac unit. Α. Tac unit. Q. Α. This was not --Q. Very good. -- crimes against persons. Α. Okay. So there's the page there. So what was Ο. his punishment? 20 days. Α. Okay. And Martinez, written reprimand? Got a written reprimand. Martinez was kind of on the sidelines on this. Martinez was reprimanded for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not properly keeping a watch on Lowe. He wasn't present

during most of these alleged transgressions.

- Q. Okay.
- A. He was too laid back.
- Q. So did anything else happen after this incident and the one before it involving the tac unit? Did anything happen to the tac unit organizationally?
- A. There were some people that were assigned other jobs. In other words, it was broken up. A lot of these officers that you mentioned here, the patrol officers that you mentioned on there, they were -- for want of a better term, they were just following along in the trail of their supervisors. Because all those individuals that were disciplined, with the exception of Eoff, were supervisors.
  - Q. Sergeants or lieutenants?
- A. Sergeants and -- Johnson, Jerome Johnson -- well, Lowe at -- Lowe was a sergeant in both instances. Allen was a sergeant. Jerome Johnson was a sergeant. And the fourth one was a detective. That was Eoff. Now, in this case, Lowe was still a sergeant. Lowe was suspended, between the two incidents, apparently 30 days, total.
  - O. Okay. Was he removed from the unit?
  - A. He was removed from that job.
  - O. Okay. In that tac unit?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And who were the others that were moved to different places?
- A. Well, Allen eventually ended up in patrol.

  Jerome Johnson -- well, the unit, as a whole, the supervisors were broken up.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. The men, they were not so much at fault. They were just following along, doing what they were directed to do. If they took the juveniles to a facility where they should not have been taken, it was because they were told to by a supervisor.
- Q. And with the suspension and the other changes in assignments, did you feel like that you had gotten back to policy compliance?
- A. Yes, but one other thing I'd like to mention. I believe this case, the case of the juveniles that originated with Eoff, I believe it went to a disciplinary board, of which I was probably the chair because of my -- I signed off on it. And I believe -- and they all tendered their recommendations, which were written down, and I looked at them, and I would decide what the appropriate punishment was.

Whether the individual members of the disciplinary board or not concurred didn't matter. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wanted their input. I wanted them to ask questions that I might not have asked or would fail to ask, but the end result was they forwarded to me the results, and I came up -- or their recommendations, I should put, and I came up with the sanctions.

- 0. Okay.
- I also think this -- some of them were appealed. Some of them were appealed either to the Civil Service Commission or to an arbitrator, and some of them -- not all of them -- were modified. Now, I don't know if you have that information here or not.
- I can't tell you off the top of my head if the arbitration award is in this one or not.

Let's move on to another incident and kind of wrap up the exhibits today, Exhibit 28, and this will be the Ybarra case. It's IA 90-080. -080.

(Exhibit 28 marked.)

- Is this one you had an opportunity to look at a 0. little bit?
- Yes, sir. Α.
- Let's look at the bottom and see what we're showing here. This one has one of your police colleagues on here who has signed the "not sustained" here. I can't read that.
  - Well, this is a recommendation of that

- A. I would point out to the appropriate people that your officers, units are not following this procedure. Now, if it was in the patrol division where most of these cases originated, that was my -- that was my -- I would point it out, "Lieutenant Jones, your officers did not follow procedure here, and I don't want it to happen again."
- Q. Okay. My question is, once you knew that the tac office had this repeating problem of not following juvenile policies, did you do anything to -- to check -- to check whether other divisions were following juvenile policies appropriately?

MR. BRITTAIN: Objection, form.

- O. (BY MR. HILKE) You can answer.
- A. First of all, hopefully you have supervisors in place -- two or three between the street level and yourself -- that'll ensure that they're doing their job, following -- that's the sergeant's primary function.

  And not the sergeant, then the lieutenant.

In the case that we discussed earlier, the sergeants weren't doing their job. The sergeants were the one that were responsible for mishandling juveniles. That's why they were suspended. That's why, in that particular case, a large number of them were transferred, if not immediately, soon afterwards.

Now, if I found out that this policy of handling juveniles, whether it's in regards to a confession or -- or whatnot, was not being followed, then somebody would be called on the carpet for it.

- Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that even though you knew there was a problem in tac, you didn't -- you didn't check out whether there was a juvenile policy problem in any other division? You would have waited for that information to come through -- to you through other complaints.
- A. Well, if the problem was in the patrol bureau, the uniformed officers -- it was called "uniform bureau" -- I would try to rectify it myself. If it was a particular division -- we have divisions and sections there.

You know, I mean, if a policy is being not followed, but the officers are not doing it deliberately just because they want to shortcut, or something, then, look, Sergeant so-and-so, your -- these two officers in this case right here, they shouldn't have gone to -- they should have gone to the juvenile location.

Q. Yet I want to -- I'm asking a very specific question. I guess, part one, you knew that there was a problem with juvenile policies in tac. It was such a big problem that you had to kick -- a bunch of people